Items identified to date for considering as part of the review of local assessment and determination of complaints

	Summary of issue	Reason/comments	Priority
1	Review of publicity to the process	 To ensure appropriate levels of awareness A new performance indicator is to be introduced to measure the % of people who are aware of the elected member complaints system 	
2	Joint working with neighbouring authorities	 Regulations to be published in May to enable this 	
3	Constitution of the main Standards Committee	 Are there sufficient members to deal appropriately with all aspects of the process? Should a recommendation to Council be considered to increase the size of the Committee? Should there be a Cabinet member on the Committee? Should parish representatives be elected (as opposed to co-opted?) 	
4	Composition of the sub-committees	 Separation of membership of assessment and final determination sub-committees? Are the sub-committees working well? Final Determination Sub-Committee composition – should it be revisited? 	
5	Receipt of Investigating Officer's report (Regulation 17(1) hearing)	 Should this be undertaken by the full Standards Committee or a sub- 	

		committee?
6	Monitoring Officer pre-complaint protocol	Some authorities instruct the MO to try to resolve complaints before referring them to the Assessment Sub- Committee – should this be considered?
7	Complaint form to be reviewed	 To consider it from the perspective of members of the public Should it be more specific in terms of identifying the part of the Code which has been breached? Should it ask the complainant to identify the remedy sought (to identify complaints about service delivery etc rather than conduct) Should it be scrapped?
8	Guidance to be reviewed	 To consider it from the perspective of members of the public Inclusion of flow charts
8	Review of website	 To consider it from the perspective of members of the public Submission of complaints on-line
10	Review of report presented to the Assessment Sub-Committee	 Should it include a suggestion as to the part of the Code which may have been breached? Should it include recommendations from officers? Should it include transcripts of meetings or other documentation

11	Review of assessment criteria	other than minutes or other publicly available documents? • Should the sub-committee's powers be clearly set out? • These were based on those in the SBE guidance. Based on practical experience, could these be improved?
12	Notification to subject member of complaint	At what point should the subject member be notified of the complaint? Currently they are not notified until after the Assessment Sub-Committee has met
13	Decision Notices	 These are currently based on the SBE model. Could they be improved? Should they include details of the members sitting on the committee? (NB – consider the difference between Regulation 8 and Regulation 11 notices) Should these be published more widely? Should they include more information about review?
14	Review	 Should a form be developed and sent out as a matter of course in the case of each case not referred for investigation? Should these take the form of a rehearing or a review of the adequacy of the process? (There is a divergence

45	Deferrede to the MO for other	of opinion on this in practice) • Should the same officer advise the review sub-committee as advised the assessment sub-committee?
15	Referrals to the MO for other action/adjournment of assessment to enable the MO to explore the possibility of other action	To be explored in the light of advice from the SBE
16	Referrals to the Adjudication Panel under Regulation 17 (serious cases not appropriate for consideration by the Standards Committee)	The prior consent of the President of the APE is required before the Standards Committee may refer a matter to the APE. How is the MO to be authorised to seek the consent of the APE prior to consideration of the matter by the Standards Committee
17	Final Determinations	 How much guidance should be given to the subject member on how to prepare? Emphasise the slot in the process for the subject member to make representations on sanctions and any mitigating circumstances
18	Parish Councils	Notification to parish councils concerning complaints (see Town & Parish Standard issue 4), to prepare advice for parish councils
19	Recommendations to full Council	 Who should present these on behalf of the Standards Committee?